Nonlinear coupled-mode theory framework for graphene-induced saturable absorption in nanophotonic resonant structures
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A strict mathematical framework combining perturbation theory and temporal coupled-mode theory is developed to model graphene-induced saturable absorption in graphene-enhanced nanophotonic resonators. To allow for loss saturation in graphene, a power-dependent model of its surface conductivity is carefully introduced, based on the underlying physics. The framework is then cautiously unfolded to capture the two-dimensional nature of graphene and its interaction with the electromagnetic mode, additionally allowing to incorporate any bulk or sheet material that are subject to saturable loss, together with other nonlinear effects. Both exact and approximative approaches are introduced, revealing the capabilities of the proposed framework to address the effect of saturable absorption. A graphene-enhanced silicon slab ring resonator is examined using the developed framework, uncovering its excellent accuracy and its capability to downgrade the computational complexity of a full-wave nonlinear simulation to a phenomenological but physically definitive differential equation. The potential of the resonant structure to act as an optically-addressed switching element is being demonstrated, exposing high extinction ratio and low power requirements. Finally, it is illustrated how the framework is capable of capturing the rich dynamics of a resonant system that may additionally exhibit Kerr- and/or free-carrier-induced optical bistability and self-pulsation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Graphene has attracted substantial interest in the last decade due to its unique thermal, mechanical, electrical, and optical properties [1]. Especially for photonic applications, graphene features a vast mixture of appealing characteristics such as broadband spectral response, extensive tunability, relatively low resistive losses and high nonlinear response [2]. The graphene growth using chemical vapor deposition (CVD) combined with the mature photonic integration technology led to the development of numerous electrically-controlled, guided-wave applications in the near-infrared (NIR) optical regime [3–6], while the possibility for practical plasmonic devices based on graphene has also been explored [7].

Almost concurrently several groups have started to explore nonlinear properties associated with graphene. Early theoretical works indicated that graphene exhibits a strong third-order nonlinear response (Kerr effect) [8] and non-negligible nonlinear losses owing to the two-photon absorption process [9]. As a consequence, a multitude of functional nonlinear devices have been proposed and computationally analyzed [10–13], all treating graphene nonlinearities as a small perturbation of its linear properties. Recently, it has experimentally been verified through independent measurements that graphene indeed exhibits a strong nonlinearity of Kerr type [14–16], upholding earlier experiments on four-wave mixing with graphene-enhanced photonic resonators [17, 18].

Apart from the aforementioned nonlinearities, the intensity dependent quench of linear losses (i.e. saturable absorption, SA) has so far given the most promising functional devices that have been theoretically studied and experimentally demonstrated. SA in graphene has a low saturation intensity and a broadband response, spanning from millimeter waves [19] to the NIR [20], owing to the inherently different nature of interband and intraband absorption processes. Based on these ascertainments, early works on graphene SA demonstrated practical applications that ranged from fiber-based mode-locked [21–23] and Q-switched [24, 25] pulsed lasers, to solid-state lasers [26], pulse shaping [27] and vertical-external-cavity surface-emitting lasers [28]. Recently, SA has experimentally been observed in graphene-enhanced silicon integrated waveguides of wire- and slot-type [29–31], showing a remarkable modulation depth due to the deep light confinement and the relatively long interaction length that silicon-on-insulator (SOI) technology provides.

In an attempt to further exploit the SA modulation depth and reduce the required operational power, in this work we incorporate graphene with a silicon traveling-wave resonator, in order to capitalize on the intensity build-up of the electromagnetic field that the latter provides. Based on the combination of the first-order perturbation theory and the temporal coupled-mode theory (CMT) [32], we meticulously build an effective nonlinear framework that downgrades the complex spatiotemporal Maxwell’s equations into simpler and easier to solve, solely time-dependent differential equations, retaining excellent accuracy, validated here through rigorous full-wave nonlinear simulations. The framework is cautiously developed to allow the incorporation of arbitrary bulk and sheet-type materials, expanding an earlier work that mainly focused on bulk semiconductors [33]. Especially for graphene, the complicated nature of its linear loss saturation is captured to its full extent through the adopted
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power-dependent model of its surface conductivity, revealing the physical insight of the phenomenon when it is established inside resonant structures. Additionally, the framework is capable of providing design guidelines for high-performance, low-power all-optical switching.

Though our primary focus is on SA, graphene additionally exhibits a strong Kerr effect that may influence the behavior of the resonant system and thus must be taken into account for a more complete picture. This is easily achieved using the proposed CMT-based framework through the incorporation of an additional term, quantifying its relative strength with respect to SA. Conveniently, this term is capable of modeling the Kerr effect not only in graphene, but also in any underlying bulk or sheet nonlinear material [13]. Likewise, other nonlinear electromagnetic phenomena, such as two-photon absorption (TPA) and the emerging free-carrier effects (FCEs) in silicon, can also be incorporated [34] in order to model the resonant system in its full extent. Nevertheless, for the resonant system considered in this work, SA outperforms the Kerr effect, TPA and FCEs. In all cases, regardless of their relatively weak strength compared to SA, the assortment of the existing nonlinear effects results in rich dynamics for the resonant system that can be explored using the proposed framework when combined with a linear stability analysis [35]. All of the framework capabilities, together with all-optical switching actions are demonstrated using a simple, yet representative resonant system consisting of a graphene-enhanced silicon slab ring resonator.

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec. II we introduce saturable absorption in graphene and incorporate it into CMT (using first-order perturbation theory) and employ both a strict and an approximative approach which simplifies the analysis. Extra care is taken for the framework to allow the handling of different SA models, allowing to incorporate a broad range of materials. Following, a two-dimensional graphene-enhanced resonant system is studied in Sec. III, targeting to the validation of the developed framework, as well as to the demonstration of a SA-based all-optical switching element. Based on the plentiful nonlinear effects appearing in the resonant structure under consideration, in Sec. IV the rich system dynamics are explored. Finally, our work concludes in Sec. V.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

In this Section, we introduce the saturation of resistive losses in graphene through its linear surface conductivity. Subsequently, we develop a formulation combining perturbation theory and coupled-mode theory to analyze graphene-comprising resonant systems that exhibit saturable absorption.

A. Saturable Absorption in graphene

In the context of Maxwell's equations, graphene is modeled by a linear surface conductivity tensor \( \sigma_s^{(1)} \) to reflect its 2D nature [36]. In the absence of static magnetic field (case studied herein), the tensorial nature of graphene linear conductivity may be reduced to a single parameter \( \sigma_1 \). In that case, the induced surface current density is calculated as \( \mathbf{J}_s = \sigma_1 \mathbf{E}_\parallel \), where \( \mathbf{E}_\parallel \) are the tangential to the graphene sheet electric field components. The conductivity parameter \( \sigma_1 \) is the outcome of two types of electron transitions due to single-photon absorption in graphene, namely the interband and intraband absorption. In turn, it is described by the widely-known Kubo formula [37].

Despite the unique nature of graphene energy diagram (which is conoidal-shaped near the Dirac point), the absorption process might saturate under relatively intense electric field [20, 21]; a phenomenon appearing in semiconductors as well, referred to as saturable absorption. Being two remarkably different processes, interband and intraband absorption saturate under different power levels, depending, among others, on the Fermi level \( \mu_\text{F} \) of graphene. Near the \( \mu_\text{F} = 0 \) region (pristine graphene), interband absorption dominates over the intraband process and also saturates at much lower optical intensities [20]. Under these conditions, intraband absorption is regarded as a nonsaturable term for a broad range of input intensities. The dynamic response of SA is often compacted within a single relaxation time \( \tau_{\text{rel}} \approx 400 \text{ fs} \) [27]. Consequently, for applications evolving at a rate of few tens of picoseconds or slower (equivalently for rates around tens of Gbps), we can model SA in graphene as an instantaneous process. In terms of conductivity, graphene losses are related to the real part of the scalar surface conductivity, while the imaginary part refers to the equivalent dielectric properties of graphene. Based on this remark, we model SA in graphene according to

\[
\sigma_1 = \sigma_{\text{intra,Re}} + \frac{\sigma_{\text{inter,Re}}}{1 + I/I_{\text{sat}}} + j(\sigma_{\text{intra,Im}} + \sigma_{\text{inter,Im}}),
\]

(1)

where \( \sigma_{\text{intra}} = \sigma_{\text{intra,Re}} + j\sigma_{\text{intra,Im}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{inter}} = \sigma_{\text{inter,Re}} + j\sigma_{\text{inter,Im}} \) are the contributions from intraband and interband mechanisms to the overall surface conductivity, respectively, \( I = |\mathbf{E}_{\parallel}|^2/2\eta_0 \) is the optical intensity, \( I_{\text{sat}} = E_{\text{sat}}^2/2\eta_0 \) is the saturation intensity, and \( \eta_0 = 120\pi \Omega \) is the impedance of free space.

In our work, we consider graphene layers which are characterized by a low value of the Fermi level. This parameter can be tuned via chemical doping or external electrostatic biasing and typically ranges from 0 for pristine and unbiased graphene to approximately 1 eV. In the optical regime and under the condition \( |\mu_\text{F}| < h\omega/2 \), the interband term becomes dominant allowing us to use the model of Eq. (1) while multiphoton processes, such as TPA or related phenomena, can be neglected as being much weaker [20].
B. Perturbation Theory and SA

Perturbation theory is employed to determine the complex frequency shift $\Delta \omega$ induced by the saturable surface conductivity of graphene in a resonant structure. It is expected that $\Delta \omega$ is purely imaginary, since loss saturation, appearing in a non-waveguiding sheet, is not supposed to alter the resonance frequency. We first define the unperturbed and perturbed fields through Maxwell\'s curl equations in the frequency domain (spatial dependence is suppressed)

\[
\begin{align}
\nabla \times E_0 &= -j \omega_0 \mu_0 H_0, \quad (2a) \\
\nabla \times H_0 &= j \omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r E_0 + j \sigma_{\text{intra}} \delta_s(r) E_{0,\parallel}, \quad (2b) \\
\nabla \times E &= -j \omega \mu_0 H, \quad (2c) \\
\nabla \times H &= j \omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r E + j \sigma_{\text{intra}} \delta_s(r) E_{\parallel} + \frac{\sigma_{\text{inter,Re}}}{1 + I/I_{\text{sat}}} \delta_s(r) E_{\parallel}, \quad (2d)
\end{align}
\]

where $\sigma_{\text{intra}} = \sigma_{\text{intra,Im}} + \sigma_{\text{inter,Im}}$ is the imaginary part of the total surface conductivity of graphene, $\delta_s(r)$ is the surface delta function and $\exp\{+j \omega t\}$ is used as the harmonic-time convention. The imaginary part of the surface conductivity is included in the linear problem. Equivalently, this term could have been treated perturbatively, resulting in a constant resonance frequency shift, which is easily calculated through the linear problem. Both approaches lead to identical results. Note also that we have completely disregarded the real part of the intraband conductivity, as it is negligible compared to the interband counterpart for $|\mu_s| < \hbar \omega/2$. Total losses are dominated by the resistive losses of graphene and, thus, bulk materials are considered as lossless (the electric permittivity $\varepsilon_r$ is real). In addition, the dispersion of linear properties (in particular, electric permittivity of silicon and surface conductivity of graphene) is sufficiently mild in the near infrared and thus will not enter in our calculations [13].

Using the conjugated form of the reciprocal theorem [38, 39], accurate for lossless and nearly lossless systems, we construct the function $F = E_0^* \times H + E \times H_0^*$ and calculate its divergence:

\[
\begin{align}
\nabla \cdot F &= -j(\omega - \omega_0) \mu_0 H \cdot H_0^* - j(\omega - \omega_0) \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 E \cdot E_0^* \\
&\quad - \frac{\sigma_{\text{inter,Re}}}{1 + |E|^2/E_{\text{sat}}^2} \delta_s(r) E_{\parallel} \cdot E_{0,\parallel}. \quad (3)
\end{align}
\]

Following, the Gauss' divergence theorem is applied in a sufficiently expanded $d$-dimensional domain $\Omega (d = 2, 3)$ for two and three-dimensional geometries, respectively, which is enclosed by a $(d - 1)$-dimensional boundary $\Gamma$. Under fairly low radiation losses, it is acceptable to consider that $\int_{\Gamma} \nabla \cdot F \, d^{d-1}r = \oint_{\Gamma} F \cdot n \, d^{d-1}r = 0$ [12], where $n$ is the normal outward vector to the boundary $\Gamma$. Then, we can write Eq. (3) as

\[
0 = -j \Delta \omega \int \mu_0 H \cdot H_0^* \, d^d r - j \Delta \omega \int \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r E \cdot E_0^* \, d^d r \\
- \int \frac{\sigma_{\text{inter,Re}}}{1 + |E|^2/E_{\text{sat}}^2} E_{\parallel} \cdot E_{0,\parallel} \, d^{d-1}r, \quad (4)
\]

where $\Delta \omega = \omega - \omega_0$ is the resonance frequency shift due to the saturable losses of the graphene sheet. We apply first-order perturbation theory by assuming that graphene resistive losses do not alter significantly the resonance mode, i.e. $E \approx E_0, H \approx H_0$. Solving Eq. (4) for $\Delta \omega$, we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{SA}}} = \text{Im}\{\Delta \omega\} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\text{inter,Re}}}{1 + |E_0|^2/E_{\text{sat}}^2}\right) \frac{|E_{0,\parallel}|^2 \, d^{d-1}r}{\int (\mu_0 |H_0|^2 + \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r |E_0|^2) \, d^d r}, \quad (5)
\]

where $\tau_{\text{SA}}$ denotes the cavity photon lifetime corresponding to resistive losses of graphene under the effect of saturable absorption. As anticipated, the frequency shift $\Delta \omega$ is purely imaginary resulting in a single loss term $\tau_{\text{SA}}$. The integration in the numerator of Eq. (5) is reduced by one order because of the sheet nature of graphene. Note also that the denominator can be identified as the quadruple of the total stored energy $W = (1/4) \int (\mu_0 |H_0|^2 + \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r |E_0|^2) \, d^d r$ in the resonator.

C. Coupled-Mode Theory and SA

The theoretical framework is completed by incorporating the saturable absorption effect into the temporal coupled-mode theory to analyze the response of nonlinear resonant structures. According to CMT, a system consisting of a traveling-wave resonator, side-coupled to a straight waveguide is described by the pair of equations [40, 41]

\[
\frac{d a}{d t} = j \omega_0 a - \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{SA}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{rad}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_c}\right) a + j \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau_c}} s_t, \quad (6a)
\]

\[
s_t = s_i + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau_c}} a, \quad (6b)
\]

where $\tau_{\text{rad}}$ and $\tau_c$ are the photon cavity lifetimes corresponding to the radiative and coupling (external) losses, respectively. We have deliberately included only the radiative part of the total intrinsic losses in the resonator, as resistive losses are introduced to Eq. (6a) through the power-dependent parameter $\tau_{\text{SA}}$. Although trivial under the examined conditions, losses from the intraband term can be easily integrated into any power-independent loss term; choosing the radiative term gives the effective parameter $(\tau_{\text{eff}})^{-1} = \tau_{\text{eff}}^{\text{rad}} + \tau_{\text{intra}}^{\text{eff}}$, where $\tau_{\text{intra}}$ denotes the fixed photon cavity lifetime due to intraband absorption. The amplitude of the field in the cavity $a$ is normalized.
so that $|a|^2 \equiv W$ expresses the total stored energy in the cavity. Similarly, $s_i, s_t$ denote the amplitude of the incident and the transmitted wave, respectively, and are normalized so that $|s|^2$ expresses guided power.

Coupled-mode theory treats the resonator as a lumped element, while the calculation of the lifetime parameter $\tau_{SA}$ in Eq. (5) involves the spatially-dependent values of the tangential electric field components $E_{0,||}$. To overcome this complication, we first define the reference electric and magnetic fields, measured respectively in $\sqrt{V/(Am^2S)}$ and $\sqrt{V/(Vm^2S)}$, as $\{E_{\text{ref}}, H_{\text{ref}}\} = \{E_0/\sqrt{W}, H_0/\sqrt{W}\}$, so that the total stored energy in the resonator equals one, i.e. $|a_{\text{ref}}|^2 = 1$. Note that $a_{\text{ref}}$ is a unitless parameter. Total stored energy is proportional to the square of electric and magnetic field values in every position [42]. Hence, we can calculate the photon lifetime $\tau_{SA}$ with respect to $|a|^2$ by substituting the field distribution $\{|a|E_{\text{ref}}, |a|H_{\text{ref}}\}$ to Eq. (5), i.e.,

$$ \tau_{SA}^-1 = \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{\sigma_{\text{inter,Re}}}{1 + |a|^2} |E_{\text{ref},||}|^2 / W_{\text{sat}} |E_{\text{ref},||}|^2 d^2 r, \quad (7) $$

where $E_{\text{ref},||}$ are the tangential to the graphene sheet components of $E_{\text{ef}}$ and we have used the fact that $|a_{\text{ref}}|^2 = (1/4) \int (|H_{\text{ref},||}|^2 + \sigma_{\text{oe},r} |E_{\text{ref},||}|^2) d^2 r = 1$. To numerically solve the CMT equations defined in Eqs. (6), we first calculate the reference fields through an unperturbed electromagnetic problem and, then, for each temporal step and corresponding stored energy $|a|^2$ we compute the photon lifetime $\tau_{SA}$ through Eq. (7).

In the special case of uniform electric field values $|E_{0,||}|$ along the graphene sheet (anticipated in traveling-wave resonators with unidirectional excitation, where no standing pattern is formed), we can obtain a simple linear expression for the photon lifetime $\tau_{SA}$:

$$ \tau_{SA} = \tau_{SA,0} \left( 1 + \frac{|a|^2}{W_{\text{sat}}} \right), \quad (8) $$

where $\tau_{SA,0}$ denotes the cavity photon lifetime due to resistive losses in the limit of vanishingly small electric field intensities and $W_{\text{sat}} = (E_{\text{sat}}/|E_{\text{ref},||}|)^2$ is the equivalent saturation energy (the value of the total stored energy for which the relaxation time $\tau_{SA}$ doubles). The linear model of Eq. (8) is adequately precise in resonators with a high level of symmetry, which imposes electric field values of the same order along the graphene layer.

To better understand the impact of SA in a resonant scheme, we first examine its continuous-wave (CW) response. For simplicity, we take into account only the saturable absorption through the simplified model of Eq. (8). This approach leads to accurate results when SA is the dominant phenomenon and, more importantly, it allows us to derive a single polynomial expression for describing the nonlinear response of the system. By assuming a constant field amplitude in the cavity $a(t) = \hat{a} \exp\{j\omega t\}$, we conclude from Eqs. (6a) and (6b) that

$$ T \equiv \frac{P_{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{in}}} = \frac{\delta^2 + \frac{1}{1 + |\hat{a}|^2 / W_{\text{sat}} - r_{\text{e}}}^2}{\delta^2 + \frac{1}{1 + |\hat{a}|^2 / W_{\text{sat}} + r_{\text{e}}}^2}, \quad (9) $$

where $T$ is the power transmission coefficient, $P_{\text{in}} = |s_{\text{in}}|^2$ and $P_{\text{out}} = |s_{\text{out}}|^2$ are the input and output power in the bus waveguide, $\delta = \tau_{SA,0} (\omega - \omega_0)$ is the normalized detuning parameter, and $r_{\text{e}} = \tau_{SA,0} / r_{\text{e}}$. In the derivation of Eq. (9) we have neglected radiation losses, as in many cases (including the example considered in Sec. III) they are much lower than resistive or coupling losses; yet they can be easily incorporated as an extra, similar to $r_{\text{e}}$, term. Under this condition, it holds that $\tau_{SA} \approx 2Q_{i}/\omega_0$ ($Q_i$ is the power-dependent intrinsic quality factor) and we can write

$$ |\hat{a}|^2 = \frac{Q_i}{\omega_0} \frac{P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{out}}} = \frac{\tau_{SA,0}}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{|\hat{a}|^2}{W_{\text{sat}}} \right) (P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{out}}), \quad (10) $$

where he has used the simple model of Eq. (8) for the photon lifetime $\tau_{SA}$. Solving Eq. (10) for $|\hat{a}|^2$ and making use of Eq. (9), we finally arrive at

$$ \frac{P_{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{in}}} = \frac{\delta^2 + (1 - p_{\text{in}} + p_{\text{out}} - r_{\text{e}})^2}{\delta^2 + (1 - p_{\text{in}} + p_{\text{out}} + r_{\text{e}})^2}, \quad (11) $$

where we have introduced the normalized power levels as $p_{\text{in}} = P_{\text{in}} / P^{SA}_{0}$ and $p_{\text{out}} = P_{\text{out}} / P^{SA}_{0}$. The parameter

$$ P^{SA}_{0} = \frac{2W_{\text{sat}}}{\tau_{SA,0}} \quad (12) $$

stands for the characteristic power of saturable absorption and it is associated with the power level at which the SA-related phenomena become important.

Equation (11) is a simple third-order polynomial expression allowing to calculate the transmission of the system. Its normalized form makes it independent of the underlying system, yet it can be concretized providing the appropriate parameter set $\{P^{SA}_{0}, \delta, r_{\text{e}}\}$. Initially, we use Eq. (11) in order to investigate the effect of the ratio $r_{\text{e}}$ on the power transmission curve. In Fig. 1(a), we depict $T$ as a function of the normalized input power for $\delta = 0$ and different values of $r_{\text{e}}$. As expected, for $r_{\text{e}} = 1$ we are under critical coupling conditions ($Q_i = Q_c$, or $\tau_{SA} = \tau_{c}$) at low input power and transmission equals zero. For higher input power, SA manifests by suppressing losses and increasing the photon lifetime $\tau_{SA}$. Therefore, the resonant system deviates from critical coupling and transmission in the bus waveguide increases. The opposite behavior is observed for $r_{\text{e}} < 1$, where the transmission is non-zero for low input power due to under-coupling conditions and eventually decreases to zero for a sufficient input power level when resistive losses are suppressed and critical coupling is met. Similarly, in Fig. 1(b) we examine the effect
FIG. 1. Power transmission coefficient $T$ versus normalized input power $p_{in}$. (a) $r_e = \{1, 0.5, 0.25\}$ for $\delta = 0$. Under-coupling ($r_e < 1$) shifts minimum transmission to higher $p_{in}$ while allows for larger transmission under low input power. (b) $\delta = \{0, 0.3, 0.6\}$ for $r_e = 0.25$. When the operating frequency $\omega$ deviates from the resonance frequency $\omega_0$ ($\delta \neq 0$), minimum transmission elevates, resulting in poor extinction.

FIG. 2. Air-cladded nonlinear 2D ring resonator, side-coupled to a bus waveguide through a coupling gap $g$. The resonance mode of the uncoupled resonator (shown in the inset) for a radius $R = 0.98 \mu m$ has an azimuthal order of $m = 12$ and a resonance wavelength of $\lambda_0 = 1550$ nm. The standing-wave pattern shown, emerges from the superposition of two degenerate counterpropagating modes with equal amplitudes.

III. GENERIC NONLINEAR SYSTEM FOR SA-INDUCED SWITCHING

Following the development of the nonlinear framework, in this Section we analyze a generic 2D nonlinear ring resonator which is comprised of silicon and graphene, and is side-coupled to a silicon bus waveguide. We first use this example to validate the theoretical framework described in Sec. II by comparing the results with full-wave nonlinear vectorial finite element method (VFEM) simulations. Moreover, we demonstrate the possibility of all-optical switching originating from saturable absorption in graphene layers.

A. Physical layout and properties

The physical system under consideration is depicted in Fig. 2. By studying a two dimensional geometry, we are able to capture the qualitative behavior of the resonant system and to validate the developed framework without the need of highly-priced 3D nonlinear simulations. The system consists of a silicon slab ring resonator of inner radius $R$ and width $w$, which is side-coupled to a straight silicon waveguide of the same width. Furthermore, we have employed a graphene sheet in the half of the outer perimeter of the ring resonator to introduce loss saturation to our design.

We focus our attention on the NIR which is widely used for communications and switching applications. At 1550 nm, we assign a constant linear refractive index $n_{Si} = 3.478$ for Si [43]. Silicon also possesses nonlinear properties valued at $n_{Si}^2 = 5 \times 10^{-18}$ m$^2$/W and $\beta_{Si}^TPA = 5 \times 10^{-12}$ m/W, accounting for Kerr effect and TPA, respectively. As for graphene, working on a Fermi level of $\mu_c \approx 0$ results in linear surface conductivity terms $\sigma_{inter} = 60.9 \mu S$ and $\sigma_{intra} = 0.3 - 3.4 \mu S$ [36, 37], with the interband contribution being domi-
We depict $Q_e$ frequently the external quality factor, $Q_{int}$, intensity limit (without the effect of SA) we calculate is calculated from the uncoupled problem and remains between the resonator and the waveguide weakens. Based on the findings of Sec. II C, we opt for under-coupling conditions in the low intensities limit, and more specifically for the $r_e = 0.25$ case. According to Fig. 3, this value corresponds to a coupling gap of $g = 237 \text{ nm}$ and an external quality factor of $Q_e = 4996$.

In order to introduce into the CMT equations nonlinear effects in addition to SA, we first have to compute the corresponding nonlinear coefficients $\gamma$ describing the strength of each nonlinear phenomenon. Details on the expressions used for the calculation of Kerr, TPA and FCEs coefficients can be found in Appendix A. It is also noted that we use a weakly coupled harmonic propagation simulation to obtain the electromagnetic field distribution necessary for estimating the parameters of the various nonlinear effects (the alternative calculation using an eigenvalue problem requires a specific correction in the case of traveling wave resonators [12]). We find that the Kerr effect induced by graphene ($\gamma_{\text{Kerr, s}} = -6.2 \times 10^{17} \text{ W}^{-1}\text{s}^{-2}\text{m}$) outweighs the Kerr effect originating from silicon ($\gamma_{\text{Kerr, s}} = 5.6 \times 10^{16} \text{ W}^{-1}\text{s}^{-2}\text{m}$); the overall response is identical to a de-focusing material ($n_2 < 0$). It is also important that SA exhibits a relatively low characteristic power $P_{SA}^0 = 856 \text{ W/m}$, while the characteristic powers of the Kerr effect and the free-carrier dispersion are $P_{\text{Kerr}}^0 = 2/|\tau_{\text{Kerr}}^\pm| = 8.4 \times 10^5 \text{ W/m}$, and $P_{\text{FCD}}^0 = 2/|\tau_{\text{FCD}}^\pm|^{1/2} = 5.9 \times 10^5 \text{ W/m}$, respectively (where the cavity lifetime $\tau_1$ is substituted with the SA parameter $7_{SA, 0}$). Given that the characteristic power is a metric revealing the input power for which the respective effect becomes important, SA is expected to be the only non-trivial nonlinear effect for input power levels as high as $10^4 \text{ W/m}$.

![Graph showing external quality factor $Q_e$ versus the coupling gap $g$ in nm.](image)

**FIG. 3.** External quality factor $Q_e$ and ratio $r_e = \tau_{SA, 0}/\tau_e$ versus the coupling gap $g$ between the ring resonator and the bus waveguide. The selected condition $r_e = 0.25$ is satisfied for a coupling gap of $237 \text{ nm}$. Inset: $E_z$-component of the electric field for $g = 237 \text{ nm}$ in the low-intensity limit, as obtained from a harmonic propagation simulation.

Regarding geometrical parameters, the width of the ring resonator and the waveguide is set to $w = 200 \text{ nm}$. The radius $R$ of the ring resonator is appropriately selected to obtain a mode with the desirable resonance wavelength $\lambda_0$ and low radiative losses. For a radius of $R = 0.98 \mu \text{m}$, we calculate $\lambda_0 = 1550 \text{ nm}$ and a resonant mode of azimuthal order $m = 12$ (depicted in the inset of Fig. 2). The TE polarization allows SA to manifest, through the interaction of the tangential component of the electric field, $E_z$, and the graphene sheets. Radiation losses are extremely low leading to an exceptionally high quality factor $Q_{rad} \approx 3 \times 10^7$. On the other hand, graphene resistive losses dominate the total intrinsic losses of the resonator; in the low-intensity limit (without the effect of SA) we calculate $Q_{res} = \omega_0\tau_{SA, 0}/2 = 1249$.

The coupling gap $g$ between the ring resonator and the bus waveguide determines the coupling losses and subsequently the external quality factor, $Q_e$, of the resonator. We depict $Q_e$ and the ratio $r_e$ with respect to the gap in Fig. 3. Note that the lifetime parameter $\tau_{SA, 0} = 2.06 \text{ ps}$ is calculated from the uncoupled problem and remains constant regardless of the coupling gap. Clearly, the external quality factor $Q_e$ increases with $g$, as the coupling between the resonator and the waveguide weakens. Based on the findings of Sec. II C, we opt for under-coupling conditions in the low intensities limit, and more specifically for the $r_e = 0.25$ case. According to Fig. 3, this value corresponds to a coupling gap of $g = 237 \text{ nm}$ and an external quality factor of $Q_e = 4996$.

In order to introduce into the CMT equations nonlinear effects in addition to SA, we first have to compute the corresponding nonlinear coefficients $\gamma$ describing the strength of each nonlinear phenomenon. Details on the expressions used for the calculation of Kerr, TPA and FCEs coefficients can be found in Appendix A. It is also noted that we use a weakly coupled harmonic propagation simulation to obtain the electromagnetic field distribution necessary for estimating the parameters of the various nonlinear effects (the alternative calculation using an eigenvalue problem requires a specific correction in the case of traveling wave resonators [12]). We find that the Kerr effect induced by graphene ($\gamma_{\text{Kerr, s}} = -6.2 \times 10^{17} \text{ W}^{-1}\text{s}^{-2}\text{m}$) outweighs the Kerr effect originating from silicon ($\gamma_{\text{Kerr, s}} = 5.6 \times 10^{16} \text{ W}^{-1}\text{s}^{-2}\text{m}$); the overall response is identical to a defocusing material ($n_2 < 0$). It is also important that SA exhibits a relatively low characteristic power $P_{SA}^0 = 856 \text{ W/m}$, while the characteristic powers of the Kerr effect and the free-carrier dispersion are $P_{\text{Kerr}}^0 = 2/|\tau_{\text{Kerr}}^\pm| = 8.4 \times 10^5 \text{ W/m}$, and $P_{\text{FCD}}^0 = 2/|\tau_{\text{FCD}}^\pm|^{1/2} = 5.9 \times 10^5 \text{ W/m}$, respectively (where the cavity lifetime $\tau_1$ is substituted with the SA parameter $7_{SA, 0}$). Given that the characteristic power is a metric revealing the input power for which the respective effect becomes important, SA is expected to be the only non-trivial nonlinear effect for input power levels as high as $10^4 \text{ W/m}$.

**B. Numerical Validation**

Having specified the geometrical parameters of the resonant system, we validate the accuracy of the CMT framework described in Sec. II under CW conditions. In particular, we feed Eq. (6) with an input power profile of a linear ramp function up to a fixed value and calculate the transmission after the system has been stabilized. We consider both the rigorous calculation of the power-dependent parameter $\tau_{SA}$ through Eq. (7) and its linear approximation in Eq. (8). In addition, we perform full-wave nonlinear VFEM simulations (including SA) using COMSOL Multiphysics® to assess the results obtained using CMT. Additionally, we study the effect of the other nonlinear phenomena by solving the generalized Eqs. (A1)-(A4) appearing in Appendix A. In Fig. 4 we plot the system transmission for input powers up to $10^4 \text{ W/m}$ using the methods described above. We have also included the transmission curve using the model $\sigma_{\text{inter, Re}}/\sqrt{T + 3I/I_{Sat}}$ for the saturable term of graphene surface conductivity with the same value for the saturation intensity $I_{Sat}$ [20]. Although we do not use this model in what follows, recent theoretical studies have shown that SA in graphene scales with $1/\sqrt{T}$ in the
limit of large incident intensities $I$. Despite the different SA models available in the literature, it is emphatically pointed out that the presented theoretical framework can handle any model for SA in graphene only with minor changes in the final expressions presented in this work, extracted strictly by using the model of Eq. (1).

Figure 4 reveals excellent agreement between the transmission curves obtained through nonlinear simulations (brown markers) and CMT equations (blue solid line). The accuracy of the results is not affected when the simple approximation of Eq. (8) is applied to calculate the photon lifetime $\tau_{SA}$ (red dashed line). That is primarily due to the symmetry of the structure that imposes nearly constant values of the electric field component $E_z$ along the graphene sheet, as shown in the inset of Fig. 3. Note also that the inclusion of the Kerr effect, TPA and FCEs modifies the transmission only for the larger input powers examined (black solid curve), as expected from the higher $P_{0\text{Kerr}}$ and $P_{0\text{FCD}}$ compared to $P_{0\text{SA}}$.

For the saturation model of Eq. (1) transmission of 0.36 in the low intensities limit, drops to zero for $P_{in} = 641$ W/m and gradually increases to 1 for higher input powers. The overall behavior is similar, when the alternative square-root model is considered. Due to the weaker dependency of conductivity on electric field, the changes in transmission curve are smoother and a higher input power of 1061 W/m is necessary to reach critical coupling.

According to Ref. [45], SA in graphene may also modify the imaginary part of the interband conductivity term and induce a nonlinear resonance frequency shift. Following a procedure similar to that of Sec. II B, it is estimated that the SA-induced normalized frequency shift at the input power of 641 W/m is $\delta_{SA} = -0.06$. The effect of this term regarding the CW transmission curve is negligible; the minimum transmission is 0.01% instead of zero. Nevertheless, the zero power transmission at the OFF-state can be restored by applying a fixed detuning $\delta = +0.06$ to the frequency of input signal. In this case, the SA-induced frequency shift is fully counteracted for the input power of 641 W/m, while in the low intensity limit (where $\delta_{SA} = 0$) the power transmission is slightly improved due to the nonzero detuning $\delta$ [cf. Fig. 1(b)].

C. Demonstration of all-optical switching

In order to design a functional all-optical switching element based on the resonant structure of Fig. 2, a control signal should be applied. In the absence of any control signal the low-power (1 W/m) probe signal is transmitted according to the CW transmission curve in Fig. 4 and the high-output (ON) state is achieved, though with moderate losses. To switch to the low-output (OFF) state, a control signal is applied, carrying an input power of $P_{in,c}$, appropriately selected to render critical coupling of the probe signal, owing to the saturation of graphene losses. However, the two signals propagate simultaneously in the same waveguide. Thus, to avoid distortion of the probe signal, they should be distinguishable in the frequency domain. Two options are considered for the central frequencies of probe and control signals. In the first, the two signals are placed in the same resonant mode with a sufficient detuning in the frequency of the control signal. For the second, the frequencies of the probe and control signals correspond to two consecutive resonances and, thus, the signals propagate in different resonant modes. Note that in the first case, detuning should definitely refer to the control signal, since zero detuning of the probe signal is a prerequisite for attaining exactly zero transmission, as shown in Sec. II C. Inevitably, that will result in inferior coupling of the control signal and the power efficiency (in terms of necessary input power $P_{in,c}$) will be reduced. Similarly, in the second case a portion of the input power $P_{in,c}$ is not coupled to the resonator, as critical coupling conditions cannot be simultaneously met for both resonant modes due to the different cavity lifetime parameters.

To evaluate the performance of each option, we compute the transmission of the probe signal as a function of the control signal power. We solve a system of equations identical with those presented in Eqs. (6), encountering for two resonance modes, i.e. $\alpha_p$ and $\alpha_c$ for the probe and the control signal, respectively. The input power of the probe signal is set to $P_{in,p} = 1$ W/m, which is insufficient for the saturation process to develop and, thus, SA is solely induced by the control signal. In Fig. 5, we depict transmission for a detuning of $\Delta \lambda = 0.2$ nm.
FIG. 5. Probe signal power transmission $T$ under CW conditions versus the input power of the control signal $P_{\text{in, c}}$. The option of two consecutive resonances for the distinction of the two signals (red dashed line) results in lower power requirements to reach the OFF-state compared to the option of one resonant mode with a detuning of $\Delta \lambda = 0.2$ nm for the control signal (black solid line). The limiting and unrealistic case of identical frequencies is also depicted for comparison.

(the wavelengths of the probe and the control signal are $\lambda_p = 1550$ nm and $\lambda_c = 1549.8$ nm, respectively) and for two consecutive resonant modes ($\lambda_p = 1550$ nm and $\lambda_c = 1461$ nm, respectively). The corresponding normalized detuning for the control signal in the first scenario is $\delta_c = 0.32$. The CW curve of Fig. 4 is also included; although the two signal cannot be separated in this case, it serves as a lower bound for the required power $P_{\text{in, c}}$ of the control signal. We observe that the selection of two consecutive resonances is advantageous in terms of the necessary power to switch to the OFF-state. Specifically, an input power of $P_{\text{in, c}} = 712$ W/m is required, which is lower than $P_{\text{in, c}} = 876$ W/m needed even in the case of a small frequency detuning $\Delta \lambda = 0.2$ nm. That is because of the stronger coupling of the control signal to the resonator, when two resonant modes are considered, leading to better exploitation of the input power in the saturation of graphene losses. Note that a frequency detuning of $\Delta \lambda = 0.2$ nm (half of a typical channel bandwidth in a dense WDM system) is essential for the successful separation of two optical signals and higher detunings would result in even greater power demands. The results remain intact, even when considering saturation in the $\sigma_{\text{inter, lm}}$, according to the model of Ref. [45].

All-optical switching is demonstrated in Fig. 6, where the temporal response of the system is investigated using the CMT formalism developed. In particular, we assume that the probe signal consists of a train of super-Gaussian pulses with a full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of 60 ps and a bit rate of 10 Gbps. The latter suggests that the bit duration is larger than the relaxation time of SA by at least two orders of magnitude, fully allowing to model SA as an instantaneous phenomenon. The option of two successive resonances is used; probe and control signals have central wavelengths of 1550 nm and 1461 nm, respectively. The parameters characterizing the resonance mode used for the probe signal have been given in Sec. III A, while the resonant mode used for control signal is characterized by $\tau_{\text{SA}, 0} = 2.13$ ps, $Q_e = 10433$ and $r_e = 0.13$. For the numerical simulations of the resonant mode at $\lambda_c = 1461$ nm, the dispersion of silicon and graphene properties has been considered [36, 43].

At first, the system operates at the high-output state and the probe signal is transmitted undistorted with maximum power of 0.36 W/m, in excellent agreement with the CW transmission in the low-intensity limit (Fig. 5). After the first three bits, a control signal of constant power $P_{\text{in, c}} = 712$ W/m is applied in order to render critical coupling of the probe signal and shift operation to the low-output state. As observed, the transmission of the probe bitstream is greatly reduced and only limited transient phenomena exist, when a logical 1 is injected to the waveguide. Specifically, the higher transient effect appears just after the onset of the pumping. Assuming that the sampling is done in the center of each time-slot, we find that the output powers of the fourth and the sixth bit are 8.5 dB and 18 dB lower than the transmission of logical 1 during the ON-state. Note that we have considered the worst-case scenario in our demonstration, where both bits preceding and succeeding switching correspond to a logical 1. Every other case would result in an even weaker transient effect and a higher extinction between
the two states. Lastly, it is pointed out that an appropriate detuning $\delta_m = \delta_c = -\delta_{SA}$ should be applied to counteract any SA-induced frequency shift, as discussed for CW conditions in Sec. III B.

Different design modifications can be applied in order to optimize the switching properties of the resonant system. First of all, the maximum transmission can be increased for smaller $\tau_c$, at the expense of higher power requirements to switch between states, as indicated in Fig. 1(a). Alternatively, the quality factor of the resonant system can be lowered, allowing it to respond faster and minimize the transient effects observed in Fig. 6. Finally, the pulse shape and duration can also be chosen appropriately to acquire the desired switching characteristics for the resonant system under study.

IV. NONLINEAR SYSTEM DYNAMICS

Although our analysis focused on the application of all-optical switching as demonstrated in Sec. III C, the nonlinear resonant system under investigation exhibits a rich dynamic behavior stemming from the interplay of different nonlinear phenomena in silicon and graphene. In particular, optical bistability (BI) and self-pulsation (SP) can be obtained for proper design selections and input power levels $P_{in}$. Through a linear stability analysis (details can be found in Appendix A) we distinguish between the regimes where BI, SP or a combination of both can be observed.

Self-pulsation can manifest when carrier and cavity lifetimes are of the same order of magnitude [35]. In our case, the cavity lifetime of the uncoupled ring is mainly determined by the power-dependent parameter $\tau_{SA}$. On the other hand, the carrier lifetime is set to $\tau_c = 8$ ps. Typically in the order of ns, carriers lifetime can be reduced to the above-mentioned value through carrier sweeping, if a reverse biasing is applied by electrodes on the two sides of the ring resonator [46]. Alternatively, ion implantations can be introduced in Si, acting as traps for the free electrons, reducing $\tau_c$ [47]. To optimize bistability and self-pulsation in terms of the required input power, we appoint the value $\tau_{SA,0} = 4\tau_{SA,0} = 8.24$ ps to the lifetime parameter in the low-intensity limit. Such a modification can easily be made by reducing the arc of the graphene sheet; due to the symmetry of the structure, a 4 times increase in $\tau_{SA,0}$ is obtained by covering only a 45° arc of the outer ring perimeter (that will also result in a 4 times reduction in $\gamma_{Kerr,s}$ coefficient). Therefore, we get that $\tau_c = 1$ and $\tau_c / \tau_{SA,0} = 0.97$. Both of these values are considered beneficial for the manifestation of self-pulsation, as will be demonstrated.

We predict the nature of the response for a range of detunings and input powers in order to specify the BI/SP regions in the $\delta$-$P_{in}$ plane. In Fig. 7(a), we illustrate the different regions, when considering SA in graphene. As observed, BI can be obtained for an input power as low as $P_{in} = 21$ mW/$\mu$m and a normalized detuning of $\delta \approx 1.4$.

Because the Kerr effect in graphene overshadows the Kerr effect in Si, the Kerr-induced resonance frequency shift has the same sign as the FCD-induced frequency shift originating from Si. As a result, the two nonlinear phenomena act collaboratively for the appearance of bistability and the BI region spans only in positive detunings. Although a purely Kerr-induced BI appears exclusively for $\delta > 2\sqrt{3}$ [40], it is noted that FCEs and SA weaken significantly this requirement. SP also appears for an input power of $P_{in} \approx 127$ mW/$\mu$m both in combination with BI [purple (gray) region] and independently [red (light gray) region].

To analyze the effect of SA on the dynamics of the resonant system, we artificially assume that the graphene losses do not saturate ($I_{sat} \rightarrow \infty$). The modified regions are specified through the same analysis and presented in Fig. 7(b). Obviously, the absence of SA shifts the BI/SP regions to higher input powers and detunings. Specifically, when SA is neglected, minimum power requirements are increased to 115 mW/$\mu$m for BI and to $1.3 \times 10^3$ mW/$\mu$m for a (pure) SP response. Therefore, we conclude that SA substantially facilitates the manifestation of optical bistability and self-pulsation at lower powers and smaller detunings. That is primarily due to the increase of the power-dependent cavity lifetime $\tau_{SA}$, allowing for higher intrinsic quality factors.

V. CONCLUSION

To recapitulate, we have developed a strict framework for analyzing resonant structures exhibiting saturable absorption originating from graphene. The proposed framework is highly accurate, requires minimal computational resources and gives a better physical insight of the loss saturation in resonant structures from the designer’s point of view. Apart from the specific equation used to model graphene SA, we have shown that the framework can handle any model of closed-form equations characterizing SA, rendering it appropriate for describing instan-
taneous absorption saturation not only in graphene but in any other nonlinear bulk or sheet material with sufficiently complicated behavior. Furthermore, CMT allows the systematic incorporation of other nonlinear phenomena that appears in graphene and silicon, such as the Kerr effect, TPA, and FCEs, corroborating it as a dominant numerical toolset in the nonlinear resonator analysis and design process.

Based on the developed framework, we have demonstrated all-optical switching actions in a simple, yet representative example of a graphene-enhanced silicon slab ring resonator, side-coupled to an access waveguide. Despite the fact that this work did not target on proposing a high-performance switch but hardly the framework capabilities, the resulting switching element presents satisfactory metrics (high ER, low operation power), which are promising for the design of practical all-optical switches. Besides, the resonant system evince rich dynamics (admitting bistability and self-pulsation), allowing for more elaborate actions that deem further study.

Appendix A: Generalization of CMT equations, normalization and stability analysis

Following the introduction of saturable absorption into the CMT framework, we generalize Eq. (6a) to include the effect of several other nonlinear mechanisms. These are: the Kerr effect of both sheet and bulk materials, two-photon absorption in silicon and the emerged free-carrier dispersion and absorption. For a resonant structure that encompasses all the aforementioned nonlinear phenomena, the temporal evolution of the slowly-varying envelope \( \tilde{a}(t) \) is governed by the differential equation [34]

\[
\frac{d\tilde{a}}{dt} = j\left(\omega_0 - \omega - \gamma_{\text{Kerr}}|\tilde{a}|^2 + \gamma_{\text{FCD}}N\right)\tilde{a} - \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{SA}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{rad}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_e} + \gamma_{\text{TPA}}|\tilde{a}|^2 + \gamma_{\text{FCA}}N\right)\tilde{a} + j\sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau_e}}\tilde{s}, \tag{A1}
\]

where \( \gamma \) parameters describe the strength of the corresponding nonlinear mechanism. Equation (A1) strictly refers to traveling wave resonators, side-coupled to a bus waveguide; for different resonant systems the last term in the right-hand side should be modified appropriately to describe the coupling conditions. The \( \gamma_{\text{Kerr}} \) and \( \gamma_{\text{TPA}} \) quantities include the contributions of both bulk and sheet materials, as defined in Ref. [13]. Specifically, for the Kerr effect originating from graphene and silicon the two contributing terms act in opposition and the sign of the total frequency shift depends on their relevant strength. We have also defined the FCEs parameters as

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{\text{FCD}}^{\text{dyn}} &= \frac{1}{2} \omega_0 \frac{\kappa_{\text{FCE}}}{\kappa_N} \sigma_0, \tag{A2a} \\
\gamma_{\text{FCA}}^{\text{dyn}} &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{\kappa_{\text{FCE}}}{\kappa_N} \sigma_0, \tag{A2b}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \kappa_{\text{FCE}} \) and \( \kappa_N \) are dimensionless quantities defined in Ref. [34], \( \sigma_0 = 5.5 \times 10^{-27} \text{ m}^3 \) and \( \sigma_e = 14.5 \times 10^{-22} \text{ m}^2 \) [48].

As Eq. (A1) indicates, \( \gamma \) parameters relate the stored energy \( |\tilde{a}|^2 \) or the carrier density \( \tilde{N} \) to a nonlinear resonance frequency shift or to nonlinear losses, depending on the nature of each nonlinear effect. They are all proportional to the respective nonlinear refractive index or nonlinear surface conductivity and to the corresponding dimensionless parameters \( \kappa \) measuring the overlap between the mode and the nonlinear materials. We also define \( \tilde{N} \) as a spatially-averaged carrier density of the coordinate-dependent density \( N \)

\[
\tilde{N} = \frac{\int N(r)|\tilde{E}_0(r)|^2 d^2r}{\int |\tilde{E}_0(r)|^2 d^2r}, \tag{A3}
\]

following the approach proposed in Ref. [49]. The temporal evolution of \( \tilde{N} \) is governed by

\[
\frac{d\tilde{N}}{dt} = -\frac{\tilde{N}}{\tau_e} + \gamma_N|\tilde{a}|^4, \tag{A4}
\]

where \( \tau_e \) is the free carrier lifetime and \( \gamma_N \) is a coefficient defined as in Ref. [34].

Equations (A1) and (A4) are difficult to handle numerically, as the involved variables differ by several orders of magnitude. To compensate for these differences, we employ a normalization procedure by defining the normalized quantities \( \tilde{a} = \tilde{a}/W_{\text{sat}}^{1/2}, \tilde{N} = \tilde{N}/(\tau_{\text{SA},0}W_{\text{sat}}^2 \gamma_N), \tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\psi}/(P_0^{\text{SA}})^{1/2} \) and \( t' = t/\tau_{\text{SA},0} \) and reach

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\tilde{a}}{dt'} &= j\left(-\delta - \tau_{\text{Kerr}}|\tilde{a}|^2 + \gamma_{\text{FCD}}^{\text{dyn}}\tilde{a}\right)\tilde{a} - \left(\frac{1}{1 + |\tilde{a}|^2} + \gamma_{\text{rad}} + \gamma_{\text{TPA}}|\tilde{a}|^2 + \gamma_{\text{FCA}}^{\text{dyn}}N\right)\tilde{a} + j2\sqrt{\tau_e}\tilde{v}, \tag{A5a} \\
\frac{d\tilde{N}}{dt'} &= -\frac{\tau_{\text{SA},0}}{\tau_e} \tilde{N} + |\tilde{a}|^4, \tag{A5b}
\end{align*}
\]

where we have introduced the ratios \( \tau_e = \tau_{\text{SA},0}/\tau_e \) and \( \tau_{\text{rad}} = \tau_{\text{SA},0}/\tau_{\text{rad}} \), the Kerr parameter \( \tau_{\text{Kerr}} = \gamma_{\text{Kerr}}\tau_{\text{SA},0}W_{\text{sat}} \).
TABLE I. Dynamic behavior of Eq. (A1) solutions based on the eigenvalues of their respective $J$ matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CW solutions</th>
<th>$\lambda^R &lt; 0$</th>
<th>$\lambda^I &lt; 0$</th>
<th>$\lambda^R &lt; 0$</th>
<th>$\lambda^R &lt; 0$</th>
<th>$\lambda^R &gt; 0$</th>
<th>$\lambda^R &gt; 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Re{$\lambda^C$} &gt; 0</td>
<td>Re{$\lambda^C$} &lt; 0</td>
<td>Re{$\lambda^C$} &lt; 0</td>
<td>Re{$\lambda^C$} &lt; 0</td>
<td>Re{$\lambda^C$} &lt; 0</td>
<td>Re{$\lambda^C$} &lt; 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>$\lambda_1^R &lt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2^R &lt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_3^R &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_1^R &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2^R &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_3^R &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>$\lambda_1^R &lt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2^R &lt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_3^R &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_1^R &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2^R &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_3^R &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI + SP</td>
<td>$\lambda_1^R &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2^R &lt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_3^R &lt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_1^R &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_2^R &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda_3^R &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The TPA parameter $r_{\text{TPA}} = \gamma_{\text{TPA}}\gamma_{\text{SA},0}W_{\text{sat}}$, and the dynamic FCE parameters $r_{\text{FCD}}^{\text{dyn}} = \gamma_{\text{FCD}}\gamma_{\text{SA},0}W_{\text{sat}}^2\gamma_N$ and $r_{\text{FCA}}^{\text{dyn}} = \gamma_{\text{FCA}}\gamma_{\text{SA},0}W_{\text{sat}}^2\gamma_N$; all represent the relative strength of each nonlinear effect with respect to SA. The choice of a normalization based on SA is fully reasonable, as SA is the dominant nonlinear effect in the example presented in this work.

We also outline here a linear stability analysis allowing to predict the manifestation of bistable or self-pulsing behavior in the optical response of the nonlinear system, since both the Kerr effect and free-carrier dispersion are present [35, 50]. The analysis is based on the perturbation of the steady-state solutions of the normalized set of Eqs. (A5) [51, 52]. We extend this analysis to allow for resonant systems that additionally exhibit SA. For each of the solutions $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{n})$ in the steady-state (three solutions exist in case of bistability), we assume a small perturbation resulting in $\tilde{u} = \bar{u} + \delta \tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{n} = \bar{n} + \delta \tilde{n}$. Neglecting second or higher order perturbative terms and using the approximation $1/(1 + x) \approx 1 - x$ for $x \ll 1$, we write

$$\frac{1}{1 + |\tilde{u}|^2} \approx \frac{1}{1 + |\bar{u}|^2} \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{u}^*\delta \tilde{u} + \bar{u}\delta \bar{u}^*}{1 + |\bar{u}|^2}\right),$$

(A6)

and consider that the perturbation vector $\varepsilon = [\delta \tilde{u} \delta \tilde{n}]$, which satisfies the linear equation $d\varepsilon/dt' = J\varepsilon$. The square matrix $J$ has the form

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & J_2 & J_3 \\ J_2 & J_1 & J_3 \\ J_3 & J_2 & J_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

(A7)

where the individual elements are given by

$$J_1 = j\left(-\delta - 2r_{\text{Kerr}}|\bar{u}|^2 + r_{\text{FCD}}^{\text{dyn}}\bar{n}\right) - \left(r_{\text{rad}} + r_c + \frac{1}{1 + |\bar{u}|^2} - \frac{|\bar{u}|^2}{(1 + |\bar{u}|^2)^2}\right) + 2r_{\text{TPA}}|\bar{u}|^2 + r_{\text{FCA}}^{\text{dyn}}\bar{n},$$

(A8a)

$$J_2 = -jr_{\text{Kerr}}|\bar{u}|^2 + r_{\text{FCD}}^{\text{dyn}}\bar{n} + \frac{\bar{u}^2}{(1 + |\bar{u}|^2)^2},$$

(A8b)

$$J_3 = j\frac{r_{\text{FCD}}^{\text{dyn}}}{r_{\text{FCA}}^{\text{dyn}}}\bar{u} + r_{\text{FCA}}^{\text{dyn}}\bar{n},$$

(A8c)

$$J_4 = 2|\bar{u}|^2\bar{u}^*,$$

(A8d)

$$J_5 = -\frac{\gamma_{\text{SA},0}}{r_c}.$$  

(A8e)

The eigenvalues of $J$ indicate whether bistability or self-pulsation appears for a given set of parameters in the $\delta - P_0$ plane [35, 51, 52]. Specifically, $J$ has a real eigenvalue $\lambda^R$ and two complex conjugate eigenvalues $\lambda^C$. A positive real eigenvalue corresponds to an unstable solution of Eq. (A1) (thus, never practically observable), while a positive real part of the complex eigenvalues (Hopf bifurcation) corresponds to an oscillatory output, i.e. self-pulsation. All possible combinations of Eq. (A1) solutions with their respective eigenvalues and the expected dynamic behavior are gathered in Table I. In the most complex case, where bistability and self-pulsation are both present, it is noted that only the low-output state exhibits an oscillatory output, while the high-output state corresponds to a constant stable solution, as insufficient number of free-carriers develop in the resonator for the oscillation to take place.

